Amendment dated

Reply to Office Action of December 4, 2007

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present application in view of the

foregoing amendments and in view of the reasons that follow. Claims 18, 20, 23, 36, 38, 41, 43, 45,

and 47 have been amended, claims 1-17 and 24-35 have been cancelled, and claims 53-55 have

been added. A detailed listing of all claims that are, or were, in the application, irrespective of

whether the claim(s) remain under examination in the application, is presented, with an appropriate

defined status identifier. Thus, claims 18-23, and 36-55 are pending in the application. Support for

new claims 53-55 can be found in paragraph [0033] of the specification. No new matter has been

added.

In addition, the Applicant would like to thank Examiners Crow and Johannsen for their

comments and suggestions in the telephonic interview held February 21, 2008. In particular, the

independent claims have been amended to claim <u>nucleotide</u> concentrations <u>in</u> the inlet and outlet

chambers and wherein the measured difference in the concentration is proportional to the amount of

nucleotide incorporated into a newly synthesized strand complementary to the nucleic acid

molecule.

Claim Objections

Claims 20, 38, 43, and 47 are objected to because each of the claims recites the limitation

"concentrations of nucleotides is" in lines 1-2 of each of the claims. Claims 20, 38, 43, and 47 have

been amended to recite "nucleotides are." This objection should be withdrawn in light of this

Amendment.

11

Application No. 10/750,315 Docket No.: 21058/0206535-US0

Amendment dated

Reply to Office Action of December 4, 2007

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, First Paragraph

Claims 18-23 and 36-52 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to

comply with the written description requirement. Specifically, the Examiner the word "unknown

was new matter. This rejection should be withdrawn in light of this Amendment as the word

"unknown" has been deleted,

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 18-23 and 36-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being

indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant

regards as the invention. Independent claims 18, 36, 41, and 45 have amended to change "first" and

"second" channels to "inlet" and "outlet" channels. Claims 41 and 45 have been amended to change

"the" to "a". Additionally, claims 41 and 45 have been amended to clarify the "first" and "second"

Raman detection units. Claim 43 has been amended to remove "inlet" channel. These rejections

should be withdrawn in light of these Amendments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 18-22, 36-39, and 41-52 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Shipwash (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0058273) in view of Davis (U.S.

Patent No. Publication No. US 2002/0102595) and in view of Natan (U.S. Patent Publication No.

US 2002/0142480). Claims 23 and 40 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Shipwash (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. US 2002/0058273) in view of Davis (U.S.

Patent Publication No. US 2002/0102595) in view of Natan (U.S. Patent No. Publication No. US

12

Application No. 10/750,315 Docket No.: 21058/0206535-US0

Amendment dated

Reply to Office Action of December 4, 2007

2002/0142480) as applied to claims 18 and 36, and further in view of Ogle (U.S. Patent No.

6,328,869). Applicants respectfully traverse these rejections.

Independent claims 18, 36, 41, and 45 have been amended to include the limitation "wherein a difference between the first concentration of nucleotide and the second concentration of nucleotide is proportional to the amount of nucleotide incorporated into a newly synthesized strand complementary to the nucleic acid." Support for this limitation can be found in paragraph [0033] of the specification. None of the applied reference disclose this feature. Shipwash teaches reversibly immobilizing a labeled ternary complex in the reaction chamber. As the reaction progresses a new ternary complex is formed which displaces the labeled ternary complex. The displaced ternary complex is detected. (Shipwash [378]). Davis discloses a two zone detection system in which the incorporation of a nucleotide triphosphate (NTP) onto an immobilized enzyme-nucleic acid is detected by the absence of a signal in the second zone. (Davis [0055]-[0062]). That is, Davis only teaches an apparatus that determines the absence or presence of an NTP. Natan discloses methods of making free-standing SERS active nanoparticles. (Natan [0016]). None of the references teach or suggest the detection of a change in concentration. Further, none of the reference alone or in combination teach or suggest measuring a first concentration in an inlet channel upstream of a reaction chamber, measuring a second concentration in an outlet channel downstream of the reaction chamber, and determining the amount of nucleotide incorporated into a newly synthesized strand complementary to a nucleic acid in the reaction chamber by determining the difference in concentration between the inlet and out channels.

Application No. 10/750.315 Docket No.: 21058/0206535-US0

Amendment dated

Reply to Office Action of December 4, 2007

Obviousness-Type Double Patenting

Claims 18-19, 21, 36-37, 41-42, and 45-46 are provisionally rejected on the ground of

nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 27-28 and 30 of

copending Application No. 11-753,361 in view of Shipwash (U.S. Patent Application Publication

No. US 2002/0058273) in view of Davis (U.S. Patent No. Publication No. US 2002/0102595) and in

view of Natan (U.S. Patent No. Publication No. US 2002/0142480).

Applicants respectfully request this rejection be held in abeyance pending indication of

patentable subject matter.

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition

for allowance.

Dated: March 3, 2008 Respectfully submitted.

By /Martin S. Sulsky/ Martin Sulsky

Registration No.: 45,403

DARBY & DARBY P.C. P.O. Box 770

Church Street Station

New York, New York 10008-0770

(202) 639-7514

(212) 527-7701 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Intel Corporation